

Simply Typed Lambda-Calculus

Renato Neves



Universidade do Minho



The Calculus

Denotational Semantics

The essence

Knowledge obtained via **assumptions** and **logical rules**

Studied since Aristotle ...

... long before the age of **artificial** computers

The essence

Knowledge obtained via **assumptions** and **logical rules**

Studied since Aristotle ...

... long before the age of **artificial** computers

So what does it have to do with programming ?

A Basic Deductive System

$\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \dots$ denote **propositions**
and $\mathbb{1}$ a proposition that always
holds



If \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are propositions then

- $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}$ is a proposition – conjunction of \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B}
- $\mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a proposition – implication of \mathbb{B} from \mathbb{A}

A Basic Deductive System

Γ denotes a **list of propositions** (often called context)

$\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}$ reads “if the propositions in Γ hold then \mathbb{A} also holds”

$$\frac{\mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}} \text{ (ass)} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 1} \text{ (trv)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}} \text{ (\pi}_1\text{)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{B}} \text{ (\pi}_2\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}} \text{ (prd)} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \mathbb{A} \vdash \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}} \text{ (cry)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{B}} \text{ (app)}$$

Exercise

Show that $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \vdash \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A}$

New Knowledge From Old

The rules below are **derivable** from the previous system

$$\frac{\Gamma, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \Delta \vdash \mathbb{C}}{\Gamma, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{A}, \Delta \vdash \mathbb{C}} \text{ (exchange)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma, \mathbb{B} \vdash \mathbb{A}} \text{ (weakening)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \mathbb{A} \vdash \mathbb{B} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{B}} \text{ (cut elimination)}$$

Derive the following judgements

- $A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C \vdash A \rightarrow C$
- $A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C \vdash A \rightarrow B \times C$

Back to programming ...

The Bare Essentials of Programming

The **basic features** of programming

- variables
- function application and creation
- pairing ...

Simply-typed λ -calculus

The Bare Essentials of Programming

The **basic features** of programming

- variables
- function application and creation
- pairing ...

Simply-typed λ -calculus

The basis of **Haskell**, ML, Eff, F#, Agda, Elm and many other programming languages

Simply-typed Lambda-Calculus

Types defined by $\mathbb{A} ::= 1 \mid \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \mid \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$

Γ now a **non-repetitive** list of typed variables $x_1 : \mathbb{A}_1 \dots x_n : \mathbb{A}_n$

Programs built according to the following **deduction rules**

$$\frac{x : \mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \mathbb{A}} \text{ (ass)}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash * : 1} \text{ (triv)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1 t : \mathbb{A}} \text{ (\pi}_1\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \mathbb{A} \quad \Gamma \vdash s : \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \langle t, s \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}} \text{ (prd)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \mathbb{A} \vdash t : \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A}. t : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}} \text{ (cry)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \quad \Gamma \vdash s : \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma \vdash ts : \mathbb{B}} \text{ (app)}$$

Examples of λ -terms

$x : \mathbb{A} \vdash x : \mathbb{A}$ (identity)

$x : \mathbb{A} \vdash \langle x, x \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}$ (duplication)

$x : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \vdash \langle \pi_2 x, \pi_1 x \rangle : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A}$ (swap)

$f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}, g : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A}. g(fx) : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (composition)

Recall the derivations that lead to the judgement

$$A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C \vdash A \rightarrow B \times C$$

Build the corresponding program

Derive as well the judgement

$$A \rightarrow B \vdash A \times C \rightarrow B \times C$$

and subsequently build the corresponding program

Table of Contents

The Calculus

Denotational Semantics

A Semantics for Simply Typed Lambda-calculus

We wish to assign a **mathematical meaning** to λ -terms

$$\llbracket - \rrbracket : \lambda\text{-terms} \longrightarrow \dots$$

so that we can reason about them rigorously, and take advantage of known mathematical theories

A Semantics for Simply Typed Lambda-calculus

We wish to assign a **mathematical meaning** to λ -terms

$$\llbracket - \rrbracket : \lambda\text{-terms} \longrightarrow \dots$$

so that we can reason about them rigorously, and take advantage of known mathematical theories

This is the goal of the next slides. But first ...

Functions: Basic Facts

For every set X there exists a 'trivial' function

$$! : X \longrightarrow \{\star\} = 1 \quad !(x) = \star$$

We can always pair two functions into $f : X \rightarrow A, g : X \rightarrow B$

$$\langle f, g \rangle : X \rightarrow A \times B \quad \langle f, g \rangle(x) = (f x, g x)$$

There exist projection functions

$$\pi_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow X \quad \pi_1(x, y) = x$$

$$\pi_2 : X \times Y \rightarrow Y \quad \pi_2(x, y) = y$$

We can always curry a function $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ into

$$\lambda f : X \rightarrow Z^Y \quad \lambda f(x) = (y \mapsto f(x, y))$$

Consider sets X, Y, Z . There exists an application function

$$\text{app} : Z^Y \times Y \rightarrow Z \quad \text{app}(f, y) = f y$$

Denotational Semantics

Types \mathbb{A} interpreted as sets $\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$

$$\llbracket 1 \rrbracket = \{\star\}$$

$$\llbracket \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket^{\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket}$$

Typing contexts Γ interpreted as Cartesian products

$$\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket = \llbracket [x_1 : \mathbb{A}_1, \dots, x_n : \mathbb{A}_n] \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{A}_1 \rrbracket \times \dots \times \llbracket \mathbb{A}_n \rrbracket$$

Terms $\Gamma \vdash t : \mathbb{A}$ interpreted as functions

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash t : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

Denotational Semantics

Term $\Gamma \vdash t : \mathbb{A}$ interpreted as a function

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash t : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

$$\frac{x_i : \mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x_i : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \pi_i}$$

$$\frac{}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash * : 1 \rrbracket = !}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash t : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \pi_1 t : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \pi_1 \cdot f}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash t : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = f \quad \llbracket \Gamma \vdash s : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = g}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle t, s \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \langle f, g \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma, x : \mathbb{A} \vdash t : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A}. t : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \lambda f}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash t : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f \quad \llbracket \Gamma \vdash s : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = g}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash ts : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \text{app} \cdot \langle f, g \rangle}$$

The Unravelling

$$\llbracket X \vdash \langle \pi_2 X, \pi_1 X \rangle \rrbracket = \dots$$

$$\llbracket - \vdash \lambda X. \langle \pi_2 X, \pi_1 X \rangle \rrbracket = \dots$$

$$\llbracket f, g, X \vdash g f X \rrbracket = \dots$$

$$\llbracket f, g \vdash \lambda X. g f X \rrbracket = \dots$$

$$\llbracket f, X \vdash \langle f \pi_1 X, \pi_2 X \rangle \rrbracket = \dots$$

$$\llbracket f \vdash \lambda X. \langle f \pi_1 X, \pi_2 X \rangle \rrbracket = \dots$$

$$\llbracket - \vdash \lambda f. \lambda X. \langle f \pi_1 X, \pi_2 X \rangle \rrbracket = \dots$$

(N.B. all types omitted for simplicity)

Show that the following equations hold

$$\llbracket x, y \vdash \pi_1 \langle x, y \rangle \rrbracket = \llbracket x, y \vdash x \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash t \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle \pi_1 t, \pi_2 t \rangle \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket x \vdash (\lambda y. \langle x, y \rangle) x \rrbracket = \llbracket x \vdash \langle x, x \rangle \rrbracket$$