

# Simply-typed $\lambda$ -calculus: a brief overview

---

Renato Neves



Universidade do Minho



# The Four Chapters of this course

Design and analysis of **communicating** systems

Extension to the **real-time** setting

Going full **cyber-physical**

Programming with **algebraic effects** ...

a **uniform** approach to the previous chapters

# Overview

Modern programming typically involves different **effects**

- (internal) state manipulation
- **communication**
- probabilistic operations
- **cyber-physical** behaviour

We will study the **mathematical** foundations of

Programming with effects

# Deductive reasoning

## Deductive reasoning

Assumptions + rules = new knowledge

## Example

If  $P$  implies  $Q$  and  $Q$  implies  $R$  then  $P$  implies  $R$

Deductive reasoning has been studied since Aristotle . . . long before the age of artificial computers

So what does it have to do with programming?

## A basic deductive system pt. I

Let  $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \dots$  denote **propositions** (a property or a statement) and  $1$  denote a proposition that always holds. If  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  are propositions then



- $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}$  is a proposition – it denotes the conjunction of  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$
- $\mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  is a proposition – it tells that  $\mathbb{A}$  implies  $\mathbb{B}$

## A basic deductive system pt. II

$\Gamma$  denotes a list of propositions.  $\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}$  means “if the propositions in  $\Gamma$  hold then (we deduce that)  $\mathbb{A}$  also holds”

$$\frac{\mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}} \text{ (ass)} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{1}} \text{ (trv)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}} \text{ (\pi}_1\text{)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{B}} \text{ (\pi}_2\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}} \text{ (prd)} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \mathbb{A} \vdash \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}} \text{ (cry)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{B}} \text{ (app)}$$

### Exercise

Show that  $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \vdash \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A}$

## Building new rules from the original ones

The following rules are derivable from the previous system

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma, B \vdash A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Delta \vdash C}{\Gamma, B, A, \Delta \vdash C}$$

### Exercise

Prove that  $A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C \vdash A \rightarrow C$  and also that  $A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C \vdash A \rightarrow B \times C$ . Are these deductions familiar?

Going back to programming ...

# The essentials of programming

In order to study effectful programming, we should think of what are the **basic features** of (higher-order) programming ...

- variables
- function application and abstraction
- pairing ...

and base our study on the **simplest programming language** containing these features ...

Simply-typed  $\lambda$ -calculus

The basis of **Haskell**, ML, Eff, F#, Agda, Elm and many other programming languages



# Simply-typed $\lambda$ -Calculus

Types  $\mathbb{A} \ni 1 \mid \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \mid \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$

$\Gamma$  is now a **non-repetitive** list of typed variables  $x_1 : \mathbb{A}_1 \dots x_n : \mathbb{A}_n$

Programs are built according to the previous **deduction rules**

$$\frac{x : \mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \mathbb{A}} \text{ (ass)} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash * : 1} \text{ (triv)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1 V : \mathbb{A}} \text{ (\pi}_1\text{)}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \quad \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \langle V, U \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}} \text{ (prd)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \mathbb{A} \vdash V : \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A}. V : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}} \text{ (cry)}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \quad \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma \vdash V U : \mathbb{B}} \text{ (app)}$$

## Examples of $\lambda$ -terms

$x : \mathbb{A} \vdash x : \mathbb{A}$  (identity)

$x : \mathbb{A} \vdash \langle x, x \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}$  (duplication)

$x : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \vdash \langle \pi_2 x, \pi_1 x \rangle : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A}$  (swap)

$f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}, g : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A}. g(f x) : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (composition)

### Exercise

Build a  $\lambda$ -term  $f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}, g : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \vdash ? : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{C}$  that pairs the outputs given by  $f$  and  $g$

# Semantics for simply-typed $\lambda$ -calculus

We wish to assign a **mathematical meaning** to  $\lambda$ -terms

$$\llbracket - \rrbracket: \lambda\text{-terms} \longrightarrow \dots$$

so that we can reason about them in a rigorous way, and take advantage of known mathematical theories

## Semantics for simply-typed $\lambda$ -calculus

We wish to assign a **mathematical meaning** to  $\lambda$ -terms

$$\llbracket - \rrbracket: \lambda\text{-terms} \longrightarrow \dots$$

so that we can reason about them in a rigorous way, and take advantage of known mathematical theories

This is the goal of the next slides: we will study how to interpret  $\lambda$ -terms as **functions**. But first . . .

## Basic facts about functions

For every set  $X$ , there is a 'trivial' function

$$! : X \longrightarrow \{\star\} = 1, \quad !(x) = \star$$

We can always pair two functions  $f : X \rightarrow A$ ,  $g : X \rightarrow B$  into

$$\langle f, g \rangle : X \rightarrow A \times B, \quad \langle f, g \rangle(x) = (f(x), g(x))$$

Consider two sets  $X, Y$ . There exist 'projection' functions

$$\pi_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow X, \quad \pi_1(x, y) = x$$

$$\pi_2 : X \times Y \rightarrow Y, \quad \pi_2(x, y) = y$$

## Basic facts about functions

We can always 'curry' a function  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  into

$$\lambda f : X \rightarrow Z^Y, \quad \lambda f(x) = (y \mapsto f(x, y))$$

Consider sets  $X, Y, Z$ . There exists an 'application' function

$$\text{app} : Z^Y \times Y \rightarrow Z, \quad \text{app}(f, y) = f y$$

# Functional semantics for the simply-typed $\lambda$ -calculus

Types  $\mathbb{A}$  are interpreted as sets  $\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$

$$\llbracket 1 \rrbracket = \{\star\}$$

$$\llbracket \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket^{\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket}$$

A typing context  $\Gamma$  is interpreted as

$$\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket = \llbracket x_1 : \mathbb{A}_1 \times \cdots \times x_n : \mathbb{A}_n \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{A}_1 \rrbracket \times \cdots \times \llbracket \mathbb{A}_n \rrbracket$$

A  $\lambda$ -term  $\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A}$  is interpreted as a function

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

# Functional semantics for the simply-typed $\lambda$ -calculus

A  $\lambda$ -term  $\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A}$  is interpreted as a function

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

in the following way

$$\frac{x_i : \mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x_i : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \pi_i} \qquad \frac{}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash * : \mathbb{1} \rrbracket = !} \qquad \frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \pi_1 V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \pi_1 \cdot f}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = f \quad \llbracket \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = g}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle V, U \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \langle f, g \rangle} \qquad \frac{\llbracket \Gamma, x : \mathbb{A} \vdash V : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A}. V : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \lambda f}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f \quad \llbracket \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = g}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V U : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \text{app} \cdot \langle f, g \rangle}$$



Show that the following equations hold.

$$\llbracket x : \mathbb{A}, y : \mathbb{B} \vdash \pi_1 \langle x, y \rangle : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \llbracket x : \mathbb{A}, y : \mathbb{B} \vdash x : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle \pi_1 V, \pi_2 V \rangle : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket x : \mathbb{A} \vdash (\lambda y. \langle x, y \rangle) x : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \llbracket x : \mathbb{A} \vdash \langle x, x \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$