# A brief overview of Simply-Typed $\lambda$ -Calculus

Renato Neves





#### **Table of Contents**

Roadmap

Stripping (higher-order) programming to the essentials

### The Four Chapters of this course

- 1. CCS and its semantics: focus on distributed systems comprised of processing units that communicate with each other
- 2. Adaption of previous notions to real-time systems: semantics via timed labelled transition systems
- 3. Going cyber-physical: simple imperative while-language and (as usual) program analysis via its semantics
- 4. Programming with algebraic effects: a uniform approach to the previous chapters

#### **Overview**

#### Modern programming typically involves different effects

- memory cell manipulation
- communication
- exception raising operations
- probabilistic operations
- real-time behaviour
- cyber-physical behaviour

In the following lectures we will study the mathematical foundations of

Programming with effects

in a uniform way

#### **Table of Contents**

Roadmap

Stripping (higher-order) programming to the essentials

### **Deductive Reasoning**

The process of reasoning via assumptions and logical rules to obtain new knowledge

(e.g. if every crow is black and x is a crow then x is black)

Deductive reasoning has been studied in the last millenina, long before the age of artificial computers

So what does it have to do with programming?

## A Logical Rule-Based System for Deductive Reasoning pt. I

Let  $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C}...$  denote propositions and 1 denote a special proposition that is always true. Next, if  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  are propositions then:





- $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}$  is a proposition it denotes the conjunction of  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$
- $\mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}$  is a proposition it says that  $\mathbb{A}$  implies  $\mathbb{B}$

## A Logical Rule-Based System for Deductive Reasoning pt. II

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a list of propositions.  $\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}$  means "if the propositions in  $\Gamma$  hold then we deduce that  $\mathbb{A}$  also holds"

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbb{A}} \in \Gamma & & & \underline{\Gamma} \vdash \underline{\mathbb{A}} \times \underline{\mathbb{B}} & & \underline{\Gamma} \vdash \underline{\mathbb{A}} \times \underline{\mathbb{B}} \\ \overline{\Gamma} \vdash \underline{\mathbb{A}} & & \overline{\Gamma} \vdash \underline{\mathbb{B}} \end{array}$$

#### **Exercise**

Show that  $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \vdash \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A}$ 

### **Building New Rules from the Original Ones**

The following rules are derivable from the previous system

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma . \mathbb{B} \vdash \mathbb{A}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \Delta \vdash \mathbb{C}}{\Gamma, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{A}, \Delta \vdash \mathbb{C}}$$

#### **Exercise**

Show that if  $\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}$  and  $\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{C}$  then  $\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ .

Going back to programming . . .

### The Essentials of Programming

In order to study effectful programming, we should think of what are the basic features of (higher-order) programming . . .

- variables
- function application
- function abstraction
- pairing . . .

and base our study on the simplest programming language containing these features . . .

Simply-typed  $\lambda$ -calculus

It is the basis of <a href="Haskell">Haskell</a>, ML, Eff, F#, Agda, Elm and many other programming languages

## Simply-Typed $\lambda$ -Calculus

Types 
$$\mathbb{A} \ni 1 \mid \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \mid \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$$

 $\Gamma$  is now a non-repetitive list of typed variables  $x_1 : \mathbb{A}_1 \dots x_n : \mathbb{A}_n$ 

Programs are built according to the previous deduction rules

## Examples of $\lambda$ -terms

$$x : \mathbb{A} \vdash x : \mathbb{A} \text{ (identity)}$$

$$x : \mathbb{A} \vdash \langle x, x \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}$$
 (duplication)

$$x : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \vdash \langle \pi_2 \ x, \pi_1 \ x \rangle : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A} \text{ (swap)}$$

$$f: \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}, g: \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{C} \vdash \lambda x: \mathbb{A}. g(f x): \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{C}$$
 (composition)

#### **Exercise**

Build a  $\lambda$ -term  $f: \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}, x: \mathbb{A} \vdash ?: \mathbb{A}$  that takes a variable  $f: \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ , a variable  $x: \mathbb{A}$ , and applies f to x twice.

#### Semantics for Simply-Typed $\lambda$ -Calculus

We wish to assign a mathematical meaning to  $\lambda$ -terms

$$\llbracket - \rrbracket : \lambda$$
-Terms  $\longrightarrow \dots$ 

so that we can reason about them in a rigorous way, and take advantage of known mathematical theories

### Semantics for Simply-Typed $\lambda$ -Calculus

We wish to assign a mathematical meaning to  $\lambda$ -terms

$$\llbracket - \rrbracket : \lambda$$
-Terms  $\longrightarrow \dots$ 

so that we can reason about them in a rigorous way, and take advantage of known mathematical theories

This is the goal of the next slides: we will study how to interpret  $\lambda$ -terms as functions. But first . . .

#### **Basic Facts about Functions**

For every set X, there is a 'trivial' function

$$!: X \longrightarrow \{\star\} = 1,$$
  $!(x) = \star$ 

We can always pair two functions  $f: X \to A$ ,  $g: X \to B$  into

$$\langle f, g \rangle : X \to A \times B, \qquad \langle f, g \rangle (x) = (f \times g \times g)$$

Consider two sets X, Y. There exist 'projection' functions

$$\pi_1: X \times Y \to X,$$
  $\pi_1(x, y) = x$   
 $\pi_2: X \times Y \to Y,$   $\pi_2(x, y) = y$ 

#### **Basic Facts about Functions**

We can always 'curry' a function  $f: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  into

$$\lambda f: X \to Z^Y, \qquad \lambda f(x) = (y \mapsto f(x, y))$$

Consider sets X, Y, Z. There exists an 'application' function

$$\operatorname{app}: Z^Y \times Y \to Z, \qquad \operatorname{app}(f, y) = f y$$

### Functional Semantics for the Simply-Typed $\lambda$ -Calculus

Types  $\mathbb{A}$  are interpreted as sets  $[\![\mathbb{A}]\!]$ 

A typing context  $\Gamma$  is interpreted as

$$\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket = \llbracket x_1 : \mathbb{A}_1 \times \cdots \times x_n : \mathbb{A}_n \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{A}_1 \rrbracket \times \cdots \times \llbracket \mathbb{A}_n \rrbracket$$

A  $\lambda$ -term  $\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A}$  is interpreted as a function

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

## Functional Semantics for the Simply-Typed $\lambda$ -Calculus

A  $\lambda$ -term  $\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A}$  is interpreted as a function

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

in the following way

$$\frac{x_i : \mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x_i : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \pi_i} \qquad \frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \pi_1 V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \pi_1 \cdot f}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = f \qquad \llbracket \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = g}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle V, U \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \langle f, g \rangle} \qquad \frac{\llbracket \Gamma, x : \mathbb{A} \vdash V : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A} \cdot V : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \lambda f}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f \quad \llbracket \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = g}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V U : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \operatorname{app} \cdot \langle f, g \rangle}$$

#### **Exercises**

Show that the following two equations hold.

Chocolate for anyone that shows that the following equation holds!

$$\llbracket (\lambda f. \ \lambda x. \ f(f \ x)) \ (\lambda x. \ \langle \pi_2 \ x, \pi_1 \ x \rangle) \rrbracket = \llbracket \lambda x. \ x \rrbracket$$